

FLIESLER
DUBB
MEYER &
LOVEJOY LLP

RECEIVED

DEC - 1 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
SAN FRANCISCO • SANTA CLARA

MARTIN C. FLIESLER
SHELDON R. MEYER
MARK E. MILLER
WARREN S. WOLFELD
LARRY E. VIERRA
GIDEON GIMLAN
KIRK J. DENIRO
BRIAN I. MARCUS
BURT MAGEN
THOMAS A. WARD
SARAH BARONE SCHWARTZ

SLADE E. SMITH
D. BENJAMIN BORSON, PH.D.
WILLIAM J. HARMON, III
BRENT A. FOLSOM
LORI L. BEHUN
PATENT AGENTS
KARL F. KENNA
LARRY T. HARRIS
COUNSEL
DAVID E. LOVEJOY

BRIAN I. MARCUS
bim@fdml.com

November 29, 2000

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. David Goldstein
GIC Intellectual Property
2503 Columbia Pike, Suite 308
Arlington, VA 22204.000

Re: Information Disclosure Statement


File: U.S. Patent Re-Issue Application entitled
"Auxiliary Lenses For Eyeglasses"
Inventors: Richard Chao
Serial No.: 09/182,862
Filed: October 21, 1998
Our File No.: CONT-01013US1 SRM/BIM

Dear David:

Enclosed in connection with the above-identified patent application is an information disclosure statement. Please hand deliver the IDS to Examiner H. Mai in Group Art Unit 2873.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,


Brian I. Marcus

BIM:lpm
Enclosures
bin/cont/1013us1.017.wpd

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10/18/2001
2-15-01
R. Danner

In re Reissue Application) REISSUE PATENT APPLICATION
Inventor: Richard Chao)
Reissue Application No.: 09/182,862) Art Unit: 2873
Filed: 10/21/98) Examiner: Mai, H.
Patent No.: 5,568,207) Customer No.: 23910
Title: AUXILIARY LENSES FOR)
EYEGLASSES)

RECEIVED

DEC - 1 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

VIA HAND DELIVERY

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of U.S. applications, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(2)(iii), and copies are not submitted of documents already cited or submitted in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevancy is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. 57 F.R. 2021 (1/17/92). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement. 37 C.F.R. §1.98(c). *This statement should be considered because:*

— This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within 3 months of the application filing date;
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office action on the merits, whichever occurs last.

Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (c) because:

- (1) It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL Office Action and before a Notice of Allowance (whichever occurs first)
-- AND (*check at least one of the following*) --

(1) It is accompanied by the \$240 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p)
-- OR --

— (2) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)

— Although it may not qualify under subsection (b) or (c), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (d) because:


- (1) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e);
-- AND --
- (2) It is accompanied by a PETITION TO ACCEPT INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.97(d);
-- AND --
- (3) It is accompanied by the \$130 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i)(1);
-- AND --
- (4) The Issue Fee has not yet been paid.

Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER, DUBB, MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

Date: November 29, 2000

By: 
Brian I. Marcus
Reg. No. 34,511